



EN 1168 - Hollow core slabs

Topics of the presentation

- **Results of inquiry introduction EN1168**
- What is lately changed in EN1168
- What has to be changed



IPHA members from next countries gave a reaction

- Belgium
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Italy
- Netherlands
- Spain
- Sweden
 - UK



Date of integration

- Nov 2005 France
- Feb 2006 is the aim Germany
- Belgium
 - Mrt 2007 Finland Earliest in 2007 or else 2010 at the adoption of EC-2
- wait for publication of Sweden dates in NANDO database
- In the other countries it is not clear yet



Way of integration

Complete integration in: Belgium, France, Italy and the UK

Without design rules in: Finland and the Netherlands

Not decided yet in:

Sweden, Germany and Spain



Changes in comparison with nat. rules No or small changes in:

Belgium and the Netherlands

Geometry X-section and strand positions Finland and Sweden less favourable

Shear calculation:

- France u
 - ItalyUK

up to 30% higher values other formula reduction of capacity and also of serv. resist. moment



Changes in comparison with nat. rules Slabs h > 450 mm: Finland



Question:

What happens with HC production which does not match the EN1168 ?



Question:

• What is part of the code ?





Additional rules

No additional rules in:

- Belgium, Finland, Italy, UK, Spain Netherlands
- Germany integrates rules of EN1168 in existing German codes as DIN 1045 and Zulassung

Additional rules in:

- France for design and erection
- Finland and the Netherlands for design until EC-2 is working



Changes to the product due to EN116

No changes in:

France, Italy, Netherlands, UK, Germany and Spain,

Changes in:

- Belgium Tests after annex J are extra
- Finland Regular third party QC will be omitted
- Sweden Minor changes in QS



Opportunities for HC No changes in all countries



Opportunities for (more) export No changes for most countries

Italy Expects to export to France
Finland Finish machine producers expect to make machines more standard



Method of CE-marking

Method 3 will be used in: Finland, France, Italy and the UK

Simplified label will be used by: Belgium, France, Netherlands and Spain

Type of method is not decided in: Germany, Netherlands and Sweden



General opinion to EN 1168

The general opinion goes from too many conservative rules to very positive.

Inq

Inquiry introduction EN 1168

General opinion to EN 1168

The remarks are diverse as:

- Updates and improvements are needed
- More development is necessary
- Soon revision for formula shear strength
- Updates for calculation of shear capacity and load distribution
- Rules for punching shear capacity and splitting resistance are too conservative
- Some formulas need more explenation
- Annex C is not clear
- Annex G is too favourable
 - To much references to EN13369 and EC2



What is changed in EN1168

- Some minor text revisions
- **Revision of Annex J**

What has to be changed?

- Rules for slabs with h > 450 mm ?
- Better formulae is shear and torsion ?
- Design rule
- Annex J ?
- Better for splitting?
- More clear ter
- Rethink of a
- Better explanation
- No references better to take of formulas in EN¹

de or in EC 2 ?

nching shear and

formulas ? 9 and EC2, and/or



Thank you for your answers and your attention

